1	PUBLIC SCOPING MEETING
2	U.S. IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION SERVICE
3	AND
4	JOINT TASK FORCE SIX
5	SUPPLEMENTAL PROGRAMMATIC ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT
6	STATEMENT
7	CONTINUATION OF THE JOINT TASK FORCE SIX
8	ACTIVITIES ALONG THE UNITED STATES/MEXICO BORDER
9	
L O	September 14, 1998
11	7:00 p.m.
۱2	Deming Civic Center
L 3	Deming, New Mexico
L 4	
L 5	
L 6	PARTICIPANTS:
L 7	Ralph Barrett, Moderator, Army Corps of Engineers
L 8	Ernesto Martinez, Border Patrol, El Paso
L 9	John Esquivel, Border Patrol, Washington, D.C.
2 0	Lt. Col. Steve Tennant, Joint Task Force Six
2 1	Chris Ingram, Gulf South Research, Inc.
2 2	
2 3	
2 4	
2 5	

(The meeting was called to order at 7:05 p.m.)

1 I

MR. BARRETT: If I may have your attention, we're running a little bit late, but we'll get started here. We needed a few extra minutes to get people signed in.

Good evening. My name is Ralph Barrett. I'm with the Army Corps of Engineers, and I want to thank you all for coming tonight. This is a public scoping meeting on the Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement--or what we'll call by its acronym, PEIS--for the Immigration and Naturalization Service Joint Task Force Six missions, primarily along the Southwest border.

Before any decision is made to implement any programs and accompanying strategies in these planning documents, both the Immigration and Naturalization Service, or INS, and Joint Task Force Six, whom we'll call JTF-6, must do a complete analysis of it. This type of broad analysis of plans is referred to as a Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement, or PEIS, rather than the more commonly known Environmental Impact Statement which analyzes a specific project that's proposed by the government.

Part of the process involves receiving public

input during this early phase of the PEIS, and this is called "scoping." At these scoping meetings that we'll hold along the border areas, we ask for public input on the scope or range of the issues that were not previously identified and should be considered for analysis in the PEIS. Comments received during these scoping meetings will be considered in the development of the draft PEIS.

2.

We have two goals tonight. One is to provide information to you about INS and JTF-6 and to receive your comments regarding the scope of the supplemental PEIS. This will help INS address all concerns about the proposed action. Comments will be addressed in the draft PEIS.

Now, before introducing the members of the panel, I'd like to explain my role in this hearing. I'm not here as an expert on the PEIS. I'm with the Army Corps of Engineers out of Ft. Worth, Texas, with the INS Architectural Engineering Resource Center. My purpose is to ensure we have a fair, orderly meeting, and that all who wish to be heard will have a chance to speak.

Again, before introducing the members of the panel, we do have some distinguished visitors here.

A representative of Senator Jeff Bingaman's office,

Alice Salcido, is here. We wish to welcome you. Thank you.

And also, Ruth Coleman, Luna County Commissioner. Thank you.

Members of the panel are Ernesto Martinez, the assistant patrol agent in charge in the El Paso Sector; John Esquivel, Headquarters, Border Patrol; Lt. Col. Steve Tennant with Joint Task Force Six; and Mr. Chris Ingram with Gulf South Research, Inc.

Other members of the federal government that are in attendance tonight include Linda Hash, the manager, seated over here; Debra Hood, environmental officer with INS; Ralph Able, who is with the INS regional office in Dallas; Gary Witt, the deputy assistant regional director for Border Patrol, also out of Dallas; and Milton Blankenship, the environmental officer for Joint Task Force Six. I'd also like to introduce Elsie Porter, our court reporter, who will be taking the minutes of tonight's meeting.

This evening's schedule will consist of Ernesto Martinez, who will provide a short overview of what's going on in the sector and what the sector's current needs are. Mr. John Esquivel from Headquarters Border Patrol will give a brief history

and provide a national perspective of the Border

Patrol. Actually, John's going to speak first, then

Ernesto is going to speak after him, so I've sort of

introduced them out of order.

Lt. Col. Tennant will discuss the role of the military in constructing these types of projects; and, finally, Mr. Chris Ingram will discuss the NEPA process, or National Environmental Policy Act process, and give a time line for this PEIS.

After the presentations, we will open the meeting to your comments and concerns, and I'd ask that you limit your comments to five minutes. You can comment at this meeting in one of three ways: on comment sheets for those who would like to handwrite their comments, you can present them orally during the public comment period, or you can give them directly to the court reporter following the general comment session.

Those of you who want to make oral comments need to fill out a registration form, and one of these should have been provided to you upon sign-in. If you've not filled one out, but wish to do so, they will be available after the presentation.

The closing of tonight's comment period will end this meeting, but we will be available for

one-on-one discussions after the formal comment forum to answer any additional questions or provide more information. John.

MR. ESQUIVEL: Good evening, my name is John Esquivel. I am assistant chief with the United States Border Patrol headquarters in Washington, D.C. As Mr. Barrett said, I want to give you a brief history of the U.S. Border Patrol, an overview of our mission, operations, strategy and operational impact.

The U.S. Border Patrol was first organized by the then Commissioner General of the Immigration Service in 1904, and we were known as "Border Guards." Every once in a while, we're still called Border Guard, but we're Border Patrol agents.

In 1924, it was established by the Bureau of Immigration as the United States Border Patrol.

Originally, it was part of the Department of Labor, but since 1940, it has become part of the Department of Justice.

The Border Patrol has 21 sectors throughout the United States, including the Border Patrol headquarters in Washington, D.C., the Border Patrol Academy in Glencoe, Georgia, and two satellite academies, one in South Carolina and one in

Artesia. Additionally, we also have a sector in Puerto Rico, which is one of 21 sectors.

The mission of the U.S. Border Patrol is to secure the external boundaries of the United States by preventing or deterring illegal entry, detecting and interdicting undocumented entrants, smugglers, narcotics, contraband and violators of other laws.

Border Patrol is designated the lead agency responsible for drug interdiction between the ports of entry. Our operations include line watch and sign cutting, traffic checkpoints, transportation check, air operations, marine patrol, horse and bike patrol, and our K-9 program.

The national Border Patrol strategy calls for prevention through deterrence, and it's a multi-year approach which calls for gaining, maintaining and extending control of the border.

Currently, we have four operations in effect.

The first one started in 1993 called Operation Hold

The Line, which commenced in the El Paso Sector.

After that, we had Operation Gatekeeper, which

covered San Diego Sector, El Centro Sector and Yuma

Sector. We now have Operation Safeguard, which

encompasses Tucson Sector.

In August of 1997, we launched Operation Rio

Grande, which encompasses Marfa, Laredo, Del Rio and MacAllen Sectors.

Border Patrol apprehensions for the Southwest border from October 1997 to May of 1998 are approximately 1,042,227, of which El Paso Sector is responsible for 85,261 during the same time period.

From October 1997 to July of 1998, El Paso
Sector had 944 agents assigned to its sector. As I
mentioned earlier, we are the first line of defense
regarding the seizure and apprehension of narcotics
between the ports of entry. The Border Patrol is
responsible for 52 percent of all the marijuana
seizures by federal agencies in fiscal year 1997.

From October 1997 to July 1998, 900 seizures have occurred in El Paso Sector, which has resulted in approximately 108,212 pounds of marijuana and 2,263 pounds of cocaine. And that value is approximately \$161,318,752.

Nationwide, the Border Patrol has seized 14,994 pounds of cocaine during the same time period, 705,715 pounds of marijuana. I just want to highlight some significant seizures that occurred between July and August. I don't have the statistics for September; I've been out of the office.

As you can see, on July 13, agents seized 2,501 pounds of marijuana in Brownsville, Texas, with a street value at \$2,000,800. On July 22nd, Border Patrol agents seized 2,534 pounds of marijuana in Laredo, Texas, street value, \$2,227,200. And on July 17th, agents in Rio Grande City seized 1,674 pounds of marijuana with a street value of \$1,339,000.

In August of this year, on August 7, Border
Patrol agents, along with Customs, conducted a joint
operation that netted a seizure of 1,705 pounds of
marijuana and 35 pounds of methamphetamine, street
value of \$1,364,000 and \$1,120,000 respectively.

On August 8, agents in Laredo seized 500 pounds of cocaine valued at \$16,016,000. On August 10th, agents, again with Customs, seized 1,214 pounds of marijuana in Tucson, Arizona, with a street value of \$971,850.

These are significant seizures; however, it's something that occurs every day. We can attribute our success to our national strategy and our operations. What I'd like to point out is that the Border Patrol has received tremendous support from the folks in JTF-6. The support that we've received has resulted in millions of dollars in savings to

both the INS and the American taxpayers. We look forward to continue working with JTF-6 in accomplishing our mission.

Thank you for your time. Please welcome
Assistant Chief Patrol Agent Ernesto Martinez.

MR. MARTINEZ: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. Again, my name is Ernesto Martinez. I'm an assistant chief patrol agent in the El Paso Sector. The El Paso Sector consists of 12 Border Patrol stations, and we cover the entire state of New Mexico and the West Texas county of El Paso and part of Hudspeth County.

Border Patrol stations in New Mexico are
Albuquerque, Deming, Lordsburg, Alamogordo,
Carlsbad. And in Texas, we have El Paso, Ysleta,
Fabens and Ft. Hancock. I think I might have missed one station.

As John said, our apprehensions for fiscal year to date 1998 are 119,763 apprehensions as compared to fiscal year 1997 of 119,973. We're about even right now. Our goal is, as John has stated, prevention through deterrence. That prevention includes the installation of technology. Right now, we have the Enforce System that is being utilized throughout the El Paso Sector. The Enforce

technology consists of inputting every apprehended alien into a computer through the use of a fingerprint system and a photograph. That system originally had about 500,000 criminal alien records inputted, and any match, we would set that individual up for deportation or prosecution.

Another technology is a sensor system. We're trying to install sensors throughout the immediate border area. Fencing and lighting is also a priority. It really assists the agents in trying to deter and prevent the entry of illegal aliens.

As John has said, our operational goals and objectives, of course, are to reduce illegal crossings into urban areas, construct primary and secondary fences along urban areas at the border, increase the number of lights in urban areas, construct lights within urban areas, and reduce and restrict amount of urban development directly adjacent to the border, reduce drug traffic at border crossings, install cameras to bolster border coverage within urban areas, and install sensors within the urban areas.

Our strategies are to, as I said, prevent and force illegal aliens into remote areas where the Border Patrol can maintain a strategic advantage,

place U.S. Border Patrol agents along the border with no more than maybe half mile to a mile apart, create a trap zone with multiple fences.

25.

Illegal activities and trends: Drug smuggling is concentrated around ports of entry. Border Patrol, even though we apprehend a large number of narcotics through the border, and because of our effective strategies, a lot of these narcotics is coming through the ports of entry, especially downtown El Paso, what we call bridge runners or port runners.

Vehicle crosses or drive-through crosses usually occur only at the bridges due to the Rio Grande. Of course, out West out here, we have a lot of drive-throughs through the border, as a lot of you know.

I guess that'd be an overall of what we're trying to accomplish here in the El Paso Sector, also, with the inclusion of the number of personnel that we've set out in all the different stations.

Does anyone have any questions right now? Thank you.

MR. TENNANT: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Lt. Col. Steve Tennant, and I'm the staff engineer for Joint Task Force Six,

or JTF-6 as we'll refer to it for the balance of the evening.

1.0

I'm here tonight to provide you information regarding what we do, how we do it, and the purpose for what we do. By the end of this presentation, I hope you will have a greater understanding of our organization, our purpose, and how we support the various federal, state and local law enforcement agencies in their efforts to stop the flow of illegal drugs into our communities.

This is the sequence I will use to explain JTF-6 and how we operate. We'll start with the national drug control strategy and controls put forth by the president, explain the involvement of the Department of Defense in JTF-6, what the mission of our organization is, and the types of support we provide to law enforcement agencies.

The statistics you see here give you a broad view of the drug problem from a national level.

Illegal drug use is responsible for a significant amount of criminal activity and causes a public safety and public health problem for governments at all levels.

An estimated \$83 billion per year is spent by governmental agencies to address drug-related

problems, with \$67 billion going to social programs such as treatment and education. An estimated \$16 billion is spent on law enforcement efforts each year.

1 5 l

Drugs impact on the productivity of our work force, disrupt the educational system in our country, have a significant impact on law and order in our communities, affect our families, place a burden on our health care system.

To address these issues, the Office of Drug
Control Policy, working at the direction of the
president, formulated and published a National Drug
Control Strategy which defines our national plan to
combat drugs and treat drugs and assign goals and
objectives by which we can measure the success of
our efforts.

These are the goals laid out in the strategy published in February 1988. The Department of Defense and JTF-6 contributed to the attainment of these goals by providing support to law enforcement efforts to reduce drug-related crime and violence, assisting to shield America's land, sea and air frontiers, and assisting to break the foreign and domestic sources of supply.

The national objective is to reduce drug use and

availability by 50 percent by the year 2007.

JTF-6 is a Department of Defense task force established in 1989 to provide support to law enforcement agencies along the Southwest border. Since 1989, our responsibilities have grown to include the entire continental United States. The main focus of our support remains the four Southwest border states where over 80 percent of our missions occur.

Our most recent mission statement, approved earlier this year, is, "Joint Task Force Six synchronizes and integrates Department of Defense operational and technological training and intelligence support with drug law enforcement agency counter-drug efforts in the continental United States to reduce the availability of illegal drugs."

This slide shows you specific legislation by the Congress, which established JTF-6, and provides the legal constraints under which we operate. To summarize the various laws you see here, they authorized DOD to conduct training exercises in areas designated as drug interdiction areas, authorized JTF-6 to provide support to the counter-drug efforts, and authorized JTF-6 to assist

with detection and monitoring of cross-border smuggling activities.

These are the restrictions we operate under.

All support must be at the written request of a law enforcement agency and must have a specific counter-drug nexus or linkage articulated. All JTF-6 activities must comply with the Posse Comitatus Act, as amended, which prohibits the use of U.S. military forces for police functions.

We may not violate the sanctity of the U.S. and Mexico border. We must follow rules of engagement which allow only the use of force in self-defense. Currently, no JTF-6 operations are authorized to carry weapons, and all security for operations are provided by the supported law enforcement agencies.

We are prohibited from collecting and maintaining information on U.S. persons and underground, independent intelligence oversight inspections to ensure compliance with this restriction. We may conduct no operations on private land without the express written permission of the landowner.

Our funds can only be expended on counter-drug support efforts. As you are probably aware, ground missions, which I will describe to you later, are

currently under suspension by the order of the Secretary of Defense. We still provide a wide range of operational, engineer, and general support to law enforcement agencies.

This slide sums up our involvement in the counter-drug effort. We provide support but are not police. We do not search people or property. We do not seize contraband, and we do not make arrests.

This slide shows you our process for providing support. All requests are initially processed through operational lines, which is an agency made up of federal agents and local law enforcement representatives. They validate that there is a counter-drug nexus to the request, then they provide us with our working priorities. Key point here is that we don't have a plan. The plan is given to us by law enforcement.

JTF-6 conducts independent reviews. Once the mission is approved by our command group, we solicit volunteer units to come participate. These units sign up because of the training benefit they receive which closely matches specific skills required for their war-time mission. For example, engineers building roads.

The mission is planned, briefed and approved by

JTF-6 and the law enforcement agencies, then formal orders are issued to begin the support. After each operation concludes, an exit review is conducted by the unit with law enforcement and JTF-6 to capture any lessons learned.

These are the operational missions we are authorized to perform. You see some in red, and I'll talk to those as we go through this.

Ground observation and reconnaissance is using military forces to watch smuggling grounds or patrol remote areas to locate marijuana plots or narcotics labs. Both these missions are under the suspension I spoke of earlier, and we conduct none of these operations at this time.

Aerial observation is the use of Department of Defense aircraft to spot drug growing areas or narcotics labs. Any information or activity is immediately passed to law enforcement for action.

Sensor missions involve monitoring smuggling corridors to detect and report activity to law enforcement. Ground sensor operations are covered by the suspension. We also provide medical evacuation support, transportation of supplies and equipment, and aviation support to transport seized drugs. And this allows the law enforcement agencies

to make arrests at the destination end of a smuggling lab. We will move it from point A to point B, and that way, it's just as if the load arrived as intended, and they can make an arrest at the other end.

1.5

I am the staff engineer, so this is a slide near and dear to my heart. Our engineers provide a wide range of assessment services to include project design, surveys, cost estimating, environmental assessments, and master planning of law enforcement infrastructure as requested. Our prior construction missions for border roads, fences and lights between the U.S. ports of entry along the Southwest border.

We also provide construction of training and operational facilities, such as small arms ranges, vehicle maintenance facilities, aviation support facilities, Border Patrol checkpoints and other structures throughout the United States.

We conduct these general support missions that you see here. Mobile training teams are small groups of subject matter experts requested by law enforcement to provide classroom and practical instructions. We typically provide about 150 training teams per year to provide expertise in medical, firearms, intelligence and planning

techniques. We coordinate but do not directly provide specialized counter-drug training for the U.S. Army Military Police School. Topics include field police operations and special reaction team techniques.

Again, we just set that up for the law enforcement, but it's trained by the U.S. Army Military Police.

Technology. We provide the Border Patrol and other law enforcement agencies with the ability to tap into some of the technology that the Department of Defense has developed--for example, night vision devices, sensor equipment, equipment for detecting tunnels underneath the border, etc.

This is my final slide. What it shows you is what our vision is, and this vision is developed by the commanding general of JTF-6, and it goes into everything we do. It sums up what we're all about. We're a dedicated organization drawn from all four services, all components, active and reserve. We're committed to the service of our nation and support for our law enforcement agencies' success in counter-drug efforts.

This concludes my formal briefing. I will be followed by Mr. Chris Ingram, who will discuss the

process we will follow under the National Environmental Policy Act.

Ladies and gentlemen, thank you for your kind attention, and thank you for being here tonight.

MR. INGRAM: Thank you, Lieutenant. The National Environmental Policy Act, or NEPA, as it is commonly called, was passed by the U.S. Congress in 1969. It requires that any federal agency, that whenever they are proposing to undertake a project, or if they intend to commit significant resources for a project, that federal agency prepares a NEPA document.

Now, the purpose of a NEPA document is to provide full disclosure to the public of a proposed action, as well as all the anticipated impacts from those actions. It is to allow public participation in the decision making process, and it is to provide accurate, sound, objective data and impact analysis to the decision makers so that they can make an informed decision.

There's three levels of NEPA documentation shown here at the bottom: categorical exclusion, environmental assessment and environmental impact statement, and the last one is the one we're going to be dealing with, as Col. Tennant and Ralph

indicated, this is a programmatic environmental impact statement. But whenever we prepare an EIS, NEPA and the President's Council on Environmental Quality require the scoping process, and that's why we're here tonight.

1 9 l

I'd like to go over the entire NEPA process.

Whenever a federal agency has a need that's identified, they will then try to formulate various alternatives or proposed actions that will satisfy that need. If it is an EIS, such as in this case, we'll issue a notice of intent and publish that in the Federal Register.

A notice of intent with EIS was published in the Federal Register on August 28, which initiated the public scoping process. We then enter into a public scoping. All the comments we receive during this process will be incorporated into a draft document that will be released to the public for review for a minimum of 45 days, after which we'll gather other comments, and we'll prepare a final EIS based on all those comments. And that document will also be reviewed for a minimum of at least--for public review for a minimum of 30 days, after which the record of decision will be published in the Federal Register.

INS and JTF-6, as all of you know, have been conducting a lot of projects along the border, and they've been complying with NEPA in several different ways. First of all, in 1994, they issued the record of decision and the final documents for programmatic EIS that covered the entire border area. Since then, they have been preparing site-specific or project-specific environmental assessments which have been tiered or connected to that 1994 programatic EIS. This is required and recommended under NEPA and Council on Environmental Regulations.

Many of those projects have required cultural and biological resource surveys, and many of the major construction actions have also required on-site monitoring to ensure that impact to essential natural resources are not impacted.

All of these past NEPA documents and the one that we will be doing, of course, have to comply with various levels of environmental statutes and regulations, not the least of which the federal statutes would be NEPA, Endangered Species Act, National Historic Preservation Act, some of the executive orders and memorandums, Army Regulations, and INS Regulations. An executive order that was

just recently promulgated was the Environmental Justice Executive Order. And, of course, there's numerous other state statutes, regulations and permits.

As I mentioned, there is a 1994 programmatic EIS that had a window of five years. That window is coming to a close next year, and that is why INS and JTF-6 have decided to start early in the NEPA process and supplement that 1994 programmatic EIS.

This supplemental programmatic EIS will be formatted very similar to the 1994 EIS in that the existing conditions or baseline conditions, if you will, will be described in five separate volumes. These will be released to public libraries all along the border, and then the contents of these will be summarized and incorporated by reference into the supplemental programmatic EIS.

Some of the goals of the Programmatic EIS is to identify the types of INS/JTF-6 actions that are anticipated for the next five years. They will also describe in generic terms the impacts that are expected from these types of actions.

It will discuss the cumulative impacts of both past projects, as well as those that are anticipated for the next five years. This is for the entire

program. And, of course, as I mentioned, it will provide the accurate and objective data so that the decision makers can make informed decisions.

Some of the benefits--it does provide full public disclosure of all the anticipated projects and the anticipated impacts over the next five years, eliminates repetitive discussions, thereby saving the INS, JTF-6 and the taxpayers time and monies. It provides an accurate assessment of the cumulative impact for the entire program, which is fairly unique for federal agencies to address their entire program.

And through the whole process, we will maintain a coordination with the various federal and state resource agencies and provide some very valuable information they wouldn't get otherwise.

I want to emphasize that just preparing this and publishing this supplemental programmatic EIS will not allow INS, JTF-6, or any other federal agency that would tier from the document to conduct a project without first complying with NEPA, Endangered Species Act, National Historic Preservation Act, or any other federal or state environmental statute or regulation. They're still going to have to comply. The schedule that we have

tentatively right now, the one we're shooting for, is: Notice of intent was published August 28. That initiated the scoping process. This is the--tonight is the first public scoping meeting of a series of 10 that are going to be held all along the border, and we're going to receive comments all the way up to December 16. And we're shooting for a draft document to be released in February of '99.

As I mentioned earlier, we'll have that out for public review for a minimum of 45 days. It will incorporate all those comments, prepare a final supplemental PEIS. We're shooting to have that ready for September to be released again for public review and comment, and hope to have a record of decision in November 1999, which will be concurrent with the closure of the 1994 PEIS.

That concludes my presentation. We'll turn it back over to Ralph Barrett, who will open up the floor for public comments.

MR. BARRETT: Okay, has everyone who wants to speak turned in a card? I just want to be sure. If not, raise your hand or stand up, get our attention. We'll come and get it from you, or we can bring you a blank if you need it. I want to be sure that everyone who wants to speak has a fair

chance to speak and to be heard. We have a court reporter that I introduced earlier who will record word for word everything that's said, and this verbatim record will become part of the draft PEIS.

This will allow the document preparers to review your comments exactly the way they were stated so they can make sure your comments are accurately and completely addressed. With that in mind, I want you to help me enforce the ground rules of tonight's meeting.

First off, speak only after I recognize you, and please address your remarks to me. If you have a written statement, you may leave it with me, or you may read it out loud, or both, as long as the time limitations are observed.

Second, please speak clearly and slowly, starting with your name, address and the capacity in which you appear. For example, public officials, designated representative of a group, or interested citizen. And this will help our court reporter prepare the transcript.

Third, please observe time limits. Everyone will have five minutes to speak, and when you reach your allotted time, I'll raise my hand, and please finish up quickly so we may hear the next speaker.

Fourth, please honor any requests that I make of you to stop speaking after your allotted time. If you have more comments than you can present in five minutes, take some time now to prioritize them so that the most important comments are spoken first.

1 7l

2.0

If you later decide you have more comments following this meeting or have additional considerations you wish to have addressed, please provide them to us in writing either at tonight's hearing or by mail.

And fifth and last, please do not speak while another person is speaking. Only one person will be recognized at a time.

Okay, we have the issues up here for consideration and for everyone to keep on track. I have received a request for one person to speak--and one more coming, okay.

Okay, our first speaker that I would like to recognize is Jim McCormick.

MR. MCCORMICK: My name is Jim

McCormick. I'm a representative for the U.S. Bureau

of Land Management, Las Cruces Field Office. I'm

the assistant field manager. My address is 1800

Marquess, Las Cruces, New Mexico 88005.

I'd like to comment a little bit about the

request that we've had from our farmers and ranchers along the international border. Several years ago, State Representative G.X. McSherry requested that we look into obtaining, if you will, funding for the reconstruction of portions of our international border fence. That had been an ongoing effort with Senator Bingaman's office, Congressman Joe Skeen, and Senator Pete Domenici's office.

In research of this, we found that most of the agencies that worked along our international border did not have authority to obtain the funding and do the reconstruction of the fence. We researched the history, and the fence itself had special authorization back there in the Roosevelt administration.

The information that we gained through scoping with our public land allotees and the landowners along the border will be given to the JTF-6 and INS and their environmental contractors and incorpoate that for this Southern New Mexico region.

We support the efforts of this group. The road system in this area has deteriorated significantly. This has been of a concern to not only our Border Patrol agents, but to the agency as well for the loss of soil erosion along this area. And part of

the construction work we hope to see would improve the health of the land through the repairing of these watersheds.

And just finally, just a note, that we that work along the border appreciate the protection that we're given. I have 14 employees who work in the area in remote areas, and they're oftentimes out there by themselves constructing vegetative studies, working in our wildlife program or watershed program, and we certainly appreciate the efforts of the Border Patrol as being someone that we can rely upon when our staffs are out there.

I think that pretty much covers our comments. We will provide the information we've gathered to this group for incorporation in their preliminary or programmatic environmental impact statement.

Thanks.

MR. BARRETT: Thank you, Mr.

McCormick. The next speaker will be L.D. Barron.

MR. BARRON: Well, I've got to say in all this NEPA, EIS, ABC acronym stuff is just making my head spin, okay? And I tell you what, I talked to neighbors and friends, it makes their heads spin, too. They really don't know what's going on and what this is all about.

I also know that the Posse Comitatus Act has been perverted in its meaning and intent since 1989. I know a lot of patriotic Americans feel the same way. Sometimes laws are wrong. I'm sure JTF-6 is perfectly legal. I'm sure a lot of things our government does is perfectly legal. But that's the complaint of a lot of patriotic Americans, that the courts and laws have gone off track.

And I would just like to ask a question to the moderator, of all of the people speaking here tonight, somewhere in your heart, don't you realize that the founding fathers would be turning over in their graves if they knew JTF-6, that the military was out there for any domestic law enforcement? Do any of you have a response to that?

MR. BARNETT: The purpose tonight is to address the issues here. The items that you see, vegetative wildlife, habitat, species, cultural resources, socioeconomics, and water quality. We're really not here to address the political side of any question as to the legitimacy.

MR. BARRON: I think it's a question of our freedoms. I just wonder--especially the guys wearing the uniform of our proud fighting forces, and I presume they're patriotic persons. I'd just

really like to know if they don't realize in their heart that this is wrong? But I know you're not going to let him answer, so--

MR. BARNETT: Again -- thank you.

Okay, is there anyone else that would like to make a statement?

Okay, that's the close, then, of the formal comment period. As I indicated earlier, we will be hanging around here for a while if you want to speak to any of us one on one for any type of information. But that does close our meeting here for tonight.

If you would like to mail in comments, the address is on the public scoping meeting handout that was given to you, bottom right-hand corner. Send your comments to that address, and we can get those into the information for the programmatic EIS.

I'd like to thank you all for taking time to come out and listen to us this evening. Hope you have a great evening, and if we can be of any assistance, please let us know. Thank you very much.

(The meeting concluded at 7:54 p.m.)

1.6

## REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

б 

I, ELSIE R. PORTER, do hereby certify that I was a Certified Court Reporter for the State of New Mexico on September 14, 1998

I further certify that the preceding 32 pages is a true and correct transcript of the public hearing held on that date, to the best of my knowledge and ability.

Elsie R. Porter, CCR #61